

Publisher's Note: Due to an error in the original printing of this article, Springer-Verlag is reprinting the correct version in its entirety.

Correlation of Endogenous Gibberellic Acid with Initiation of Mango Shoot Growth

Thomas L. Davenport,^{1*} David W. Pearce,² and Stewart B. Rood²

¹Tropical Research and Education Center, University of Florida, IFAS, 18905 SW 280th St., Homestead, FL 33031, USA ;

²Department of Biological Sciences, University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada T1K 3M4

ABSTRACT

Stems of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) rest in a non-growing, dormant state for much of the year. Ephemeral flushes of vegetative or reproductive shoot growth are periodically evoked in apical or lateral buds of these resting stems. The initiation of shoot growth is postulated to be primarily regulated by a critical ratio of root-produced cytokinins, which accumulate in buds and by leaf-produced auxin, which decreases in synthesis and transport over time. Exogenously applied gibberellic acid (GA₃) delays initiation of bud break but does not determine whether the resulting flush of growth is vegetative or reproductive. We tested the hypothesis that endogenous GA₃, which influences release of these resting buds, may decrease in stem tips or leaves with increasing age of mango stems. GA₃ and several other GAs in stem tip buds and leaves were identified and quantified in stems of different ages. The major endogenous GAs found in apical buds and leaves of vegetative mango stems were early 13-hydroxylation pathway gibberellins: GA₁, *epi*-GA₁, GA₃, GA₁₉, GA₂₀, and GA₂₉, as identified by

gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). A novel but unidentified GA-like compound was also present. The most abundant GAs in apical stem buds were GA₃ and GA₁₉. Contrary to the hypothesis, the concentration of GA₃ increased within buds with increasing age of the stems. The concentrations of other GAs in buds were variable. The concentration of GA₃ did not change significantly with age in leaves, whereas that of most of the other GAs declined. GA₁ levels were greatest in leaves of elongating shoots. These results are consistent with the concept that rapid shoot growth is associated with synthesis of GAs leading to GA₁. The role of GA₃ in delaying bud break in mango is not known, but it is proposed that it may enhance or maintain the synthesis or activity of endogenous auxin. It, thereby, maintains a high auxin/cytokinin ratio similar to responses to GA₃ that maintain apical dominance in other plant species.

Key words: Stem tip growth; Gibberellins; *Mangifera indica*

INTRODUCTION

Extension and lateral growth of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) stems occurs in periodic flushes of elongating shoots forming the terminal intercalary units of

branches. Stems are here defined as nongrowing, dormant vegetative structures that remain in rest most of the year, whereas shoots are actively growing vegetative or reproductive structures that are evoked from apical or lateral buds of these stems. Growth of individual shoots lasts only about 2 weeks, forming 10 to 20 leaves before returning to a

Received 11 January 2000; accepted 19 July 2000
*Corresponding author; e-mail: tldav@gnv.ifas.ufl.edu

dormant or resting state that lasts 2 months to nearly a year, depending on the age of the tree and environmental conditions. Once elongation is completed, these shoots form the terminal intercalary unit of resting stems as defined by Davenport and Nuñez-Elisea (1997).

Vegetative shoots undergo distinct changes from early shoot development to maturation of leaves. Developing shoots are light green in color during early elongation and enlargement (elongating green leaf [EGL] stage). When nearing full size, approximately 2 weeks after initial bud break, they become red in color and possess little lignification in the cell walls, resulting in limp leaves that hang vertically from stems (limp red leaf [LRL] stage). They are thin and soft to the touch but soon (2–3 days) return to a light green color while strengthening. New leaves continue to strengthen by increased lignification but remain light green in color (immature green leaf [IGL] stage) for 1–2 months after the LRL stage before turning the deep green color typical of mature leaves (mature green leaf [MGL] stage). Depending on the vigor of the tree, one to four episodes of vegetative shoot growth may occur on each stem between flowering flushes, which typically occur in February in the Northern Hemisphere.

Flowering occurs in the subtropics when resting buds initiate growth during cool, inductive temperatures (Batten and McConchie 1995; Davenport and Nuñez-Elisea 1997; Nuñez-Elisea and Davenport 1995; Nuñez-Elisea and others 1996). Flowering responses to floral inductive conditions, however, are moderated by stem age (Nuñez-Elisea and Davenport 1995). Young, resting stems bearing light green or recently matured leaves are more likely to produce vegetative instead of reproductive shoots even if shoot growth is initiated in floral inductive conditions (Nuñez-Elisea and Davenport 1995).

Davenport and Nuñez-Elisea (1997) proposed that there exist two physiologic switches that regulate growth and developmental events in mango. The first switch regulates initiation of bud break and has no regulatory role in determining its reproductive or vegetative fate. If present, the apical bud is always the one that initiates a new shoot. It is proposed that the ratio of promotive and inhibitory initiation components determines when shoot initiation occurs. A root-generated initiation promoter, perhaps a cytokinin, may accumulate in stem tips over time as has been found in citrus (Hendry and others 1982), which displays a phenology similar to mango (Davenport 1990). An inhibitor formed in leaves that decreases with age, such as auxin, may antagonize it. Decreases in availability of auxin to stem tips as leaves age have been reported in species

other than mango (Davenport and others 1980; Veen and Jacobs 1969). Davenport and Nuñez-Elisea (1997) suggested that a rising ratio of cytokinin to auxin acts to stimulate initiation of bud break in resting stems of mango once a critical ratio is reached. It is analogous to the relationships described for correlative inhibition of lateral branching (apical dominance) in growing plants (Cline 1997; Cline and others 1997).

Several lines of evidence also support the notion that a gibberellin (GA), possibly GA₃, may also inhibit initiation. Numerous articles have reported the concentration-dependent inhibitory and delaying effects of exogenously applied GA₃ on flowering of mango (Nuñez-Elisea and Davenport 1998; Oosthuysen 1995). The most notable response of mango trees is inhibition of inflorescence shoot initiation, not prevention of floral induction. The delay in inflorescence initiation is always followed by inflorescence development as long as initiation takes place during cool, floral-inductive conditions. If GA₃ inhibited floral induction, one would expect initiation of a high proportion of vegetative shoots instead of reproductive shoots during cool, floral-inductive conditions, especially in response to high GA₃ concentrations. Moreover, triazole plant growth retardants, which are known to inhibit gibberellin biosynthesis (Rademacher 1991), stimulate early flowering of mango (Sergent and others 1996; Tongumpai and Chantakulchan 1996).

The delaying effect of GA₃ on shoot initiation without influencing flowering in inductive conditions and the accelerating effect of paclobutrazol on reproductive shoot initiation implicates GA₃ in the initiation events of mango, perhaps as an inhibitor augmenting the auxin/cytokinin ratio described earlier. Endogenous gibberellins have been reported in mango leaves and shoots under a variety of conditions using various analytical protocols (Chen 1987; Pongsomboon and others 1997). Most are not useful comparisons to this study. Chen (1987) reported levels of gibberellins A_{1/3}, A_{4/7}, A₅, A₁₇, A₂₀, and A₂₉ (identified by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry [GC-MS]) in xylem sap extracted from stumps during the fall vegetative flush, during the mature green leaf dormant period, and during the early and full flowering flush in spring. Highest levels of GA_{1/3} (2.9 ± 0.9 ng · mg⁻¹ (fresh mass [fm] xylem sap) were observed during early vegetative flush in the fall. Lower amounts (1.0–1.4 ± 0.2 ng · mg⁻¹ xylem sap) were noted during the dormant and flowering flush periods. Although none of the preceding estimates of gibberellins in stem tips or leaves of mango provide unambiguous estimates of individual gibberellins as related to stem age, they suggest that

gibberellin levels may be relatively high during vegetative shoot growth and gradually decrease over time during the subsequent rest period.

As part of a study of the role of gibberellins in flowering in mango, the primary purpose of this investigation was to test the hypothesis that apical stem tips and leaves from older stems would contain less GA₃ than those from young stems or shoots. Thus, we identified the typical complement of endogenous gibberellins contained in 'Tommy Atkins' mango leaves and buds by GC-MS. We then quantified those GAs in leaves and stem tips from young shoots in the limp red leaf stage, from two age groups of mature stems bearing dark green leaves, and from stem tips that were either resting or were initiating new vegetative growth at the time of sampling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Shoot or stem samples were randomly harvested from branches on ten 15-year-old 'Tommy Atkins' mango trees located in a commercial orchard near Homestead, Florida USA. The trees were in excellent condition, planted in trenches dug into the calcareous Rockdale gravelly soil, and were fertilized and irrigated regularly. Stems were sampled on July 22, 1994 by excising the terminal intercalary unit from stems approximately 1 month after fruit harvest. Stem tip and leaf samples were categorized into one of four distinct types representing different ages of shoots or stems:

1. Early developing green-leaf shoots (EGL) 3–32 mm long (≤ 1 week since shoot initiation), borne on MGL stems of the previous vegetative flush (approximately 6- to 7-months-old).
2. LRL shoots (approximately 2-weeks-old).
3. MGL stems with resting apical buds, which evoked soon after February, 1994 flowering (approximately 3-months-old).
4. MGL stems that had not flushed since flowering about 7 months earlier and bearing no apical bud, having developed into a panicle that subsequently aborted.

Harvested shoots or stems were placed with leaves intact in plastic bags on ice in an insulated chest and quickly transferred to the laboratory. Shoot or stem tips (1 cm in length), consisted of the apical bud (except for category type 4, which lacked an apical bud) and about 10 lateral buds. The leaves were excised from the stems in each category. The stem tips and leaves were each pooled into three replicate

samples. Each shoot or stem and each leaf sample consisted of 10 tips and all leaves, respectively, on stems in category 2 and five tips and all leaves on stems in categories 1, 3, and 4. Each replicate pooled stem tip or leaf sample was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until lyophilized. All of the buds on each dried stem tip were pared from the tips and pooled to form the final samples. Three replicate samples of each were analyzed for GAs.

Large samples (>1 kg fm) of LRL and MGL were also harvested from the same mango trees and similarly prepared for preliminary identification of gibberellins. After removal of midribs and petioles, 100 g of dried leaf lamina was used for analyses of each sample.

GA Analysis

Extraction, purification, and analytical procedures outlined in the following were used for quantitative analyses of all samples with minor modifications in some cases. The same steps were used on a larger scale to purify large quantities of leaves for identification of the major gibberellin components.

The three replicate samples of emerging apical shoots (category 1) had a dry mass (dm) of 530–740 mg. Buds pared from the three replicate stem tip samples harvested at the LRL stage (category 2) yielded 86 to 111 mg (dm). Buds excised from stem tip samples taken from the MGL samples with or without apical buds (categories 3 and 4) yielded samples ranging in mass from 79–125 mg. Samples were ground in a mortar immediately before extraction.

About 50 g dm of leaves per replicate was harvested for green leaf samples, and about 20–30 g dm per replicate for red leaf samples. Subsamples were taken from each of the triplicate pooled leaf samples, the midribs and petioles were removed, the remaining leaf blades were ground in a Wiley mill equipped with a 40-mesh screen, and a 2-g subsample was used for GA analysis.

Samples were extracted overnight in 10–40 mL 80% methanol (MeOH) at 4°C , centrifuged at $2,000 \times g$ for 10 min (or filtered) and briefly re-extracted twice in 100% MeOH. Supernatants were pooled before addition of internal standards. For quantitative analysis, 10 to 50 ng of [^2H]-labeled internal standards of GA₁, GA₃, GA₄, GA₆, GA₈, GA₉, GA₁₉, GA₂₀, GA₂₉, GA₄₄, and GA₅₃ were each added to the extracts. The methanol concentration of extracts was then adjusted to 80% with water followed by adjustment to pH 7 with NH₄OH. Chlorophyll and other nonpolar components were removed on a reversed-phase column (1–5 g C₁₈; Waters Corp., Mil-

ford, MA) and washed with 10 to 50 mL 80% MeOH. The eluate was reduced to aqueous phase at reduced pressure at 35°C, adjusted to pH 8 with NH_4OH , and centrifuged for 10 min at $2,000 \times g$. The supernatant was slurried with polyvinylpyrrolidone (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO; 1–10 g total, depending on the sample), and then filtered. The filtrate was adjusted to pH 3 with HCl and partitioned three times against equal volumes of ethyl acetate EtOAc). The acidic EtOAc fraction was dried at reduced pressure at 35°C. The residue was dissolved in 5 mL of (99:1) ethyl acetate:acetic acid (EtOAc:HOAc) and loaded onto a 14×20 mm id deactivated silica gel (2 g) column (Koshioka and others 1983b). GAs were eluted with 20 mL of EtOAc:HOAc (99:1) and subsequently dried. Neutral impurities in the residue were removed by chromatography on DEAE-Sephadex A-25 (Turnbull 1985) or QAE-Sephadex A-25 (Lenton and others 1994). Gibberellins in the acidic fraction from ion exchange chromatography were subsequently separated by reversed-phase HPLC (C_{18} μ Bondapak, 3.9 mm id \times 30 cm; Waters Corp., Milford, MA) with [^3H]- GA_1 and - GA_4 (0.5 kBq each; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ) added as internal standards. The eluting solvent program was 10% MeOH 0 to 11.5 min, linear gradient to 73% MeOH at 47.5 min; flow 1.67 mL min^{-1} ; 1.2 min (2 mL) fractions were collected (Koshioka and others 1983a).

Fractions from HPLC were first analyzed for GA-like biological activity in preliminary analyses to determine the major GAs present in LRL and MGL extracts using a modified dwarf rice (*Oryza sativa* cv Tan-ginbozu) bioassay (Nishijima and Katsura 1989). Subsequently, groups of fractions were combined on the basis of biologic activity and/or the elution volumes of the [^3H]- internal standards and expected elution volumes of the [^2H]- internal standards. These samples were methylated with ethereal diazomethane, silylated with N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide with 1% TMCS (Pierce Chem. Co., Rockford, IL) and analyzed by GC-MS or GC-MS-selected ion monitoring (SIM) (Zanewich and Rood 1995). Quantities of GA_1 , GA_3 , GA_8 , GA_{20} , and GA_{29} were estimated by isotope dilution analysis from the abundance of their molecular ions and those of their respective [^2H]-labeled standards, and for ions at m/z 434 and 436 for GA_{19} and [^2H] GA_{19} . *Epi-GA*₁ was estimated by comparison of the abundance of its molecular ion with that of [^2H] GA_1 , present in the same sample in GC-MS-SIM analysis.

For each GA, results were assessed by ANOVA and comparisons of individual treatments (leaf or bud age) were then made by Fisher's protected least




Figure 1. Distribution of biological activity in fractions from C_{18} HPLC of an extract of mature green mango leaves. Dilutions (1/50 or 1/100) of each fraction were assayed on dwarf rice (Tan-ginbozu). [^3H]-internal standards were located as shown.

significant difference (PLSD) procedure. The results were also compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test.

RESULTS

Identification of GAs

Bioassays of extracts of young (limp red) and mature (dark green) leaves after reversed-phase HPLC showed two major groups of biologically active fractions. The results for the two leaf types were the same. Figure 1 displays the results on mature green leaves. From these, GA_1 , *epi-GA*₁, GA_3 , and GA_{20} were identified by GC-MS or GC-MS-SIM (Table 1). GA_{29} and GA_{19} were identified from other fractions (Table 1). GA_8 was indicated (by ions at m/z 594 and 448 in quantitative analyses), and trace amounts of GA_{44} and GA_{53} were indicated in some quantitative analyses (by molecular ion only). Extracts of both red and green leaves contained an unidentified compound with characteristics of a dihydroxylated GA_9 (Gaskin and MacMillan 1991). It was found in HPLC fractions 17 and 20 mL, which showed no biologic activity in the dwarf rice bioassay.

Quantification of GAs

Of those GAs surveyed in the buds of resting stem tips at both the LRL (2-weeks-old) and MGL stages (3-months-old), GA_3 and GA_{19} were the most abundant when normalized on a per unit mass basis (Figure 2). The trends in concentration of the various gibberellins varied with age of the sampled shoots or

Table 1. Gibberellins^a Identified from Limp Red or Mature Green Mango Leaves

HPLC Fraction	Gibberellin	KRI	Principal Ions m/z (relative intensity)
20–24	GA ₁	2660	506(100), 491(9), 448(21), 377(16), 376(24), 375(31), 313(38), 207(92)
20–24	<i>epi</i> -GA ₁	2772	506(100), 491(9), 448(34), 377(56), 375(28), 313(10), 238(16), 207(115)
20–24	GA ₃	2687	504(100), 489(8), 445(6), 370(11), 347(14), 297(17), 208(76)
33–34	GA ₁₉	2619	462(5), 434(100), 402(35), 375(52), 374(47), 345(50)
30–32	GA ₂₀	2512	418(100), 403(14), 359(17), 375(49), 301(23), 207(113)
17–19	GA ₂₉	2667	506(100), 491(13), 447(10), 375(11), 303(48)
17–19 ^b ;20–24	di-OH GA ₉	2625	506(15), 491(12), 475(8), 474(4), 447(6), 446(3), 433(10), 419(3), 416(9), 401(3), 384(6), 357(76), 343(43), 328(11), 311(11), 267(39), 250(10), 241(8), 223(10), 179(100), 135(39)

^aMeTMS derivatives.^bMost in these fractions.

stems. GA₃, the gibberellin of primary concern to the hypothesis being tested, displayed a clear trend of increasing in buds of stem tips as emerging shoots made the transition to resting stems and increased maturity (Figure 2). Higher levels of GA₁ found in leaves during early shoot development were consistent with the role of GA₁ in shoot elongation. GA₈, GA₂₀, and GA₂₉ tended to decrease with advancing stem tip age. The absolute content of all observed gibberellins was greatest in the emerging shoots (≤ 1 week) (Table 2) and thereafter displayed substantially lower levels with no particular trends evident in any of the gibberellins.

In general the overall levels of gibberellins found in leaves were lower than those found in the stem tip buds (Figure 2). Except for GA₃ and GA₁₉, the GA amounts were the greatest in the youngest (LRL) stage. A nonsignificant trend of increasing GA₃ levels was noted in leaves of increasing age.

DISCUSSION

All GAs identified in mango stem tips and leaves are members of the early 13-hydroxylation pathway, common in elongating tissue of many species (Sponsel 1995). The relationship between GA₁, GA₈, GA₁₉, GA₂₀, and GA₂₉ is well established (Hedden and Kamiya 1997). GA₃ might arise from GA₂₀ by way of GA₅ (Albone and others 1990; Fujioka and others 1990); however, neither this nor GA₉₅ (1,2-didehydro GA₂₀), another possible immediate precursor of GA₃ (Nakayama and others 1996), was found in this study.

Other GAs previously reported in xylem sap of mango, that is, GA₄, GA₅, GA₇, and GA₁₇ (Chen 1987) were not found in either leaves or stems of

mango in our study. There was no evidence of significant quantities of endogenous GAs accompanying the internal standards of [²H]-GA₄, -GA₆, or -GA₉ in this study.

Epi-GA₁ could be a natural GA of mango or may be an artifact generated from GA₁ during sample processing (Gaskin and others 1995). The latter possibility, however, is unlikely in our samples because no epimerization of the [²H]-GA₁ internal standard was found. In addition to the 13-hydroxylation pathway, the existence of another route of GA biosynthesis is possible given the presence of the unidentified dihydroxy GA₉-like compound.

The finding that GA₃ was abundant among those GAs surveyed is unusual in higher plants but not unique (Abdala and others 1995; Rood and others 1987). Its accumulation in the buds of stem tips in particular would reflect the relative rates of its synthesis, catabolism, conjugation, and movement into and out of the stem tips. None of these are known for mango; however, some evidence suggests that GA₃ might accumulate because it is more slowly metabolized than its counterparts such as GA₁ (Goldschmidt and Galili 1981).

The previously described evidence of (1) buds remaining in rest for several months before initiation of new shoots, (2) GA₃ delays bud break in a concentration-dependent manner, and (3) earlier than normal bud break of inflorescences after triazole plant growth retardant treatment supports the hypothesis that GA₃ in buds or leaves or both is reduced with the age of stems and, while at elevated levels in young stems, contributes directly to the delay in resumption of growth between flushes. The evidence presented here not only does not support this hypothesis, it demonstrates trends of increasing




Figure 2. *Left*, Mean (\pm SD) gibberellin content [$\text{ng (g dm}^{-1}\text{)}$] of mango leaves borne on limp red leaf shoots (2 weeks), 3-month and 7-month-old mature green leaf stems. Mature green leaves from the ~7 month column of figures were borne on stems from which the newly emerging shoots (≤ 1 -week-old) were forming. *Right*, Mean (\pm SD) gibberellin content [$\text{ng (g dm}^{-1}\text{)}$] found in emerging shoots (≤ 1 week) and in buds from apical stem tips of 2-week-old limp red leaf mango shoots or 3- and 7-month-old mature green leaf resting stems.

levels of GA₃ in buds over time. The mean GA₃ levels were highest in buds from 7-month-old tips (Figure 2).

The concentration of GA₃ was relatively high in buds from resting stem tips compared with leaves (Figure 2). The GA₃ may have been imported into the stem tips from subtending leaves, because GA₃ was found in low concentrations in the leaves (Figure 2), or from roots as implied from the results of GA_{1/3}-like biologic activity found in xylem sap vacuum extruded from mango shoots (Chen 1987). Quantification was made difficult in buds from stem

tips by the variable bulk of stem tissue that was likely included with the buds when they were excised from the tips. If expressed on a per stem tip basis, the highest levels during bud break and early shoot development were 3- to 10-fold greater than at any other time (Table 2). Despite the variability, there is no evidence that the levels of GA₃ substantially lowered with increasing age.

Endogenous GA₃ alone, thus, does not appear to directly inhibit shoot initiation. It could, however, participate indirectly when GA₃ is exogenously applied by affecting the auxin/cytokinin ratio.

Exogenously applied gibberellins have been reported to intensify apical dominance in conifers (Pharis and others 1972; Ross and others 1983) and other plants (Jacobs and Case 1965; Scott and others 1967). Such elevated levels could either enhance the impact of existing levels of auxin, as has been suggested by Jacobs and co-workers (Jacobs and Case 1965; Scott and others 1967), or possibly increase auxin biosynthesis in leaves (Law 1987; Law and Hamilton 1989). It is possible that similar effects, exhibited by delayed bud break in mango, are mediated through similar auxin synthesis or sensitivity mechanisms (Phillips 1969).

The proposed normal attrition of auxin with age of the resting terminal intercalary unit is possibly influenced by the apparent increase in GA₃ with stem age, which may amplify the impact of auxin and delay initiation of bud break until the auxin levels are further reduced. Thus, even if the auxin levels decrease with age, thus lowering the auxin/cytokinin ratio, the impact of this loss would be lessened by the increasing levels of endogenous GA₃ with increasing age of the stems. Evidence to support this hypothesis is provided by the consistent observations of early bud break stimulated by triazole plant growth retardants (Sergent and others 1996; Tongumpai and Chantakulchan 1996). Maintenance of apical dominance by endogenous GA₃ has been demonstrated in other species (Brooks 1964; Pharis and others 1965; Ruddat and Pharis 1966) and, thus, parallels the initiation responses to GA₃ and plant growth retardants observed in mango.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Mark Zaugg and Stania Horachek for skilled assistance with bioassays. Professor L. Mander, Australian National University, furnished deuterated standards. This research was supported in part by USDA-CSRS, CBAG special grant no. 91-34135-6179 and National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada grants to SBR and RP

Table 2. Mean (\pm SD) Gibberellin Content (ng organ⁻¹) Found in Emerging Shoots (<1 week) and in Buds from Apical Stem Tips of 2-week-old Limp Red Leaf Mango Shoots or 3- and 7-month-old Mature Green Leaf Resting Stems

Gibberellin	Shoot or Stem Age			
	≤ 1 wk	2 wks	3 mos	7 mos
GA ₁	0.57 \pm 0.10a	0.013 \pm 0.005b	0.043 \pm 0.011b	0.017 \pm 0.011b
epi-GA ₁ ^z	1.40 \pm 0.68 ^y a	0.062 \pm 0.016 ^y b	0.120 \pm 0.035b	0.028 \pm 0.015b
GA ₃	1.70 \pm 1.8a	0.190 \pm 0.001a	0.670 \pm 0.26a	0.207 \pm 0.090a
GA ₈	0.4a	0.039b	0.011 \pm 0.006 ^y c	0.004 \pm 0.004 ^{c,d}
GA ₁₉	—	0.220 \pm 0.015a	—	0.052 \pm 0.027b
GA ₂₀	1.20 \pm 0.15a	0.013 \pm 0.004b	0.036 \pm 0.024b	0.002 \pm 0.001b
GA ₂₉	0.65 \pm 0.24a	0.043 \pm 0.009b	0.048 \pm 0.018b	0.003 \pm 0.002b

Means within rows differ at $p \leq 0.003$ when followed by different letters (Fisher's PLSD).

p Values for GA₃: Col 1 vs Col 2, 0.08; 1 vs 3, 0.22; 1 vs 4, 0.08; 2 vs 3, 0.53; 2 vs 4, 0.98; 3 vs 4, 0.54.

Kruskal-Wallis GA₁ $p = 0.024$, epi-GA₁ $p = 0.038$; GA₃ $p = 0.033$; GA₈ $p = 0.21$; GA₂₀ $p = 0.029$; GA₂₉ $p = 0.024$

^zSemi-quantitative estimate based on comparison with [²H]GA₁

^y $n = 2$; for all other values $n = 3$ except for GA₈ < 1 wk and 2 wks where $n = 1$

Pharis, University of Calgary. Florida Agricultural Experiment Station Journal Series No. R-07274.

REFERENCES

- Abdala G, Guiñazú M, Tizio R, Pearce DW, Pharis RP. 1995. Effect of 2-chloroethyltrimethyl ammonium chloride on tuberization and endogenous GA₃ in roots of potato cuttings. *Plant Growth Reg* 17:95–100.
- Albone KS, Gaskin P, MacMillan J, Phinney BO, Willis CL. 1990. Biosynthetic origin of gibberellins A₃ and A₇ in cell-free preparations from seeds of *Marah macrocarpus* and *Malus domestica*. *Plant Physiol* 94:132–142.
- Batten DJ, McConchie CA. 1995. Floral induction in growing buds of lychee (*Litchi chinensis*) and mango (*Mangifera indica*). *Aust J Plant Physiol* 22:783–791.
- Brooks HJ. 1964. Responses of pear seedlings to *N*-dimethylamino-succinamic acid, a growth retardant. *Nature* 203:1303
- Chen WS. 1987. Endogenous growth substances in relation to shoot growth and flower bud development of mango. *J Am Soc Hort Sci* 112:360–363.
- Cline MG. 1997. Concepts and terminology of apical dominance. *Am J Bot* 84:1064–1069.
- Cline MG, Wessel T, Iwamura H. 1997. Cytokinin/auxin control of apical dominance in *Ipomoea nil*. *Plant Cell Physiol* 38:659–667.
- Davenport TL. 1990. Citrus flowering. *Hort Rev* 12:349–408.
- Davenport TL, Morgan PW, Jordan WR. 1980. Reduction of auxin transport capacity with age and internal water deficits in cotton petioles. *Plant Physiol* 65:1023–1025.
- Davenport TL, Nuñez-Elisea R. 1997. Reproductive physiology. In: Litz RE, editor. *The mango botany, production and uses*. 1997. Wallingford Oxon, UK: CAB International. p 69–146.
- Fujioka S, Yamame H, Spray CR, Phinney BO, Gaskin P, MacMillan J, Takahashi N. 1990. Gibberellin A₃ is biosynthesized from gibberellin A₂₀ via gibberellin A₅ in shoots of *Zea mays* L. *Plant Physiol* 94:127–131.
- Gaskin P, MacMillan J. 1991. GC-MS of the gibberellins and related compounds: methodology and a library of spectra. Bristol: University of Bristol (Cantock's Enterprises).
- Gaskin P, Macmillan J, Spray CR, Suzuki Y, Phinney BO. 1995. 3-Epi-gibberellin A₁: natural occurrence and artefactual formation from gibberellin A₁. *Phytochem* 38:1–4.
- Goldschmidt EE, Galili D. 1981. Fate of ¹⁴C-gibberellic acid in senescing on-tree 'Valencia' orange fruit. *J Am Soc Hort Sci* 106:175–177.
- Hedden P, Kamiya Y. 1997. Gibberellin biosynthesis: enzymes, genes and their regulation. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol* 48:431–460.
- Hendry NS, Van Staden J, Allan P. 1982. Cytokinins in citrus I. Fluctuations in the leaves during seasonal and developmental changes. *Scientia Hort* 16:9–16.
- Jacobs WP, Case DB. 1965. Auxin transport, gibberellin, and apical dominance. *Science* 148:1729–1731.
- Koshioka M, Harada J, Takeno K, Noma M, Sassa T, Ogiyama K, Taylor JS, Rood SB, Legge RL, Pharis RP. 1983a. Reversed-phase C₁₈ high-performance liquid chromatography of acidic and conjugated gibberellins. *J Chromatogr* 256:101–115.
- Koshioka M, Takeno K, Beall FD, Pharis RP. 1983b. Purification and separation of plant gibberellins from their precursors and glucosyl conjugates. *Plant Physiol* 73:398–406.
- Law DM. 1987. Gibberellin-enhanced indole-3-acetic acid biosynthesis: D-tryptophan as the precursor of indole-3-acetic acid. *Physiol Plant* 70:626–632.
- Law DM, Hamilton RH. 1989. Reduction in the free indole-3-acetic acid levels in Alaska pea by the gibberellin biosynthesis inhibitor, uniconazole. *Physiol Plant* 76:535–538.
- Lenton JR, Appleford NEJ, Croker SJ. 1994. Gibberellins and α -amylase gene expression in germinating wheat grains. *Plant Growth Regul* 15:261–270.
- Nakayama M, Yokota T, Sohma R, Mander LN, Twitchin B, Komatsu H, Matsui H, Bukovac MJ. 1996. Gibberellins in immature seed of *Prunus cerasus*: Structure determination and synthesis of gibberellin GA₉₅ (1,2-didehydro-GA₂₀). *Phytochem* 42:913–920.
- Nishijima T, Katsura N. 1989. A modified micro-drop bioassay

- using dwarf rice for detection of femtomol quantities of gibberellins. *Plant Cell Physiol* 30:623–627.
- Nuñez-Elisea R, Davenport TL. 1995. Effect of leaf age, duration of cool temperature treatment, and photoperiod on bud dormancy release and floral initiation in mango. *Scientia Hort* 62:63–73.
- Nuñez-Elisea R, Davenport TL. 1998. Gibberellin and temperature effects on dormancy release and shoot morphogenesis of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.). *Scientia Hort* 77:11–21.
- Nuñez-Elisea R, Davenport TL, Caldeira ML. 1996. Control of bud morphogenesis in mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) by girdling, defoliation and temperature modification. *J Hort Sci* 71:25–40.
- Oosthuysen SA. 1995. Effect of aqueous application of GA₃ on flowering of mango trees: why in certain instances is flowering prevented, and in others flowering is only delayed? *Yrbk S Afr Mango Growers Assoc* 15:21–25.
- Pharis RP, Kuo CC, Glenn JL. 1972. Gibberellin, a primary determinant in the expression of apical dominance, apical control and geotropic movement of conifer shoots. In: Carr DJ, editor. *Plant growth substances, 1970*. New York: Springer-Verlag. p 441–448.
- Pharis RP, Ruddat M, Phillips C, Heftman E. 1965. Gibberellin, growth retardants, and apical dominance in Arizona cypress. *Naturwiss* 52:88–89.
- Philips IDJ. 1969. Apical dominance. In: Wilkins MB, editor. *The physiology of plant growth and development*. London: McGraw-Hill. p 165–204.
- Pongsomboon W, Subhadrabandhu S, Stephenson RA. 1997. Some aspects of the ecophysiology of flowering intensity of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv Nam Dok Mai in a semitropical monsoon Asian climate. *Scientia Hort* 70:45–56.
- Rademacher W. 1991. Biochemical effects of plant growth retardants. In: Gausman HW, editor. *Plant growth regulators*. New York: Marcel Dekker. p 169–200.
- Rood SB, Pearce D, Pharis RP. 1987. Identification of endogenous gibberellins from oilseed rape. *Plant Physiol* 85:605–607.
- Ross SD, Pharis RP, Binder WD. 1983. Growth regulators and conifers: their physiology and potential uses in forestry. In: Nickell LG, editor. *Plant growth regulating chemicals, Vol. II*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. p 35–78.
- Ruddat M, Pharis RP. 1966. Participation of gibberellin in the control of apical dominance in soybean and redwood. *Planta* 71:222–228.
- Scott TK, Case DB, Jacobs WP. 1967. Auxin-gibberellin interaction in apical dominance. *Plant Physiol* 42:1329–1333.
- Sergent E, Ferrari D, Leal F. 1996. Effects of potassium nitrate and paclobutrazol on flowering induction and yield of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) cv Haden. *Acta Hort* 455:180–187.
- Sponsel VM. 1995. Gibberellin biosynthesis and metabolism. In: Davies PJ, editor. *Plant hormones: physiology, biochemistry, and molecular biology*. Dordrecht: Martinus Nijhoff. p 66–97.
- Tongumpai P, Chantakulchan K. 1996. Foliar application of paclobutrazol on flowering of mango. *Acta Hort* 455:175–179.
- Turnbull CGN, Crozier A, Schneider G. 1986. Anion exchange chromatography on DEAE Sephadex A-25 differentiates between (acidic) glucosyl ethers and (neutral) glucosyl esters. *Phytochem* 25:1823–1828.
- Veen H, Jacobs WP. 1969. Transport and metabolism of indole-3-acetic acid in coleus petiole segments of increasing age. *Plant Physiol* 44(8):1157–1162.
- Zanewich KP, Rood SB. 1995. Vernalization and gibberellin physiology of winter canola. *Plant Physiol* 108:615–621.